Third District Court of Appeal

State of Florida

Opinion filed July 14, 2021. Not final until disposition of timely filed motion for rehearing.

No. 3D20-1677 Lower Tribunal No. 18-33060

Cordis Corporation,

Appellant,

VS.

Stefano Convertino, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Martino Convertino,

Appellee.

An Appeal from a non-final order from the Circuit Court for Miami-Dade County, David C. Miller, Judge.

Crowell & Moring LLP, and Vincent J. Galluzzo (Washington, D.C.); and Wallen Kelley, and John D. Golden, for appellant.

Kreusler-Walsh, Vargas & Serafin, P.A., and Jane Kreusler-Walsh, Rebecca Mercier Vargas, and Stephanie L. Serafin (West Palm Beach); and Osborne & Francis, PLLC, and Joseph A. Osborne and J. Robert Bell, III (Boca Raton), for appellee.

Before HENDON, MILLER, and BOKOR, JJ.

PER CURIAM.

Cordis Corporation ("Cordis") appeals from a non-final order denying its motion to dismiss on the ground of *forum non-conveniens*. We affirm.

The plaintiff, Stefano Convertino, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Martino Convertino, brought a product liability suit against Cordis, alleging that defects in the Cordis TrapEase Permanent Inferior Vena Cava Filter ("Cordis IVC Filter") caused Martino Convertino's death. The plaintiff is a citizen and resident of the state of New Jersey, and the deceased, Martino Convertino, was, at all relevant times, a resident of the state of New Jersey. Cordis is a Florida corporation and maintains an office in Miami Lakes, Florida. Cordis's Miami Lakes office is the central location for handling product complaints, quality control, risk management, training, and regulatory compliance involving the Cordis IVC Filter.

Following a hearing, the trial court denied Cordis's motion to dismiss on the ground of *forum non conveniens*. Based on our review of the record, including the trial court's order addressing each of the *forum non conveniens* factors, we conclude that the trial court did not abuse its

_

¹ The analysis for *forum non conveniens* is well established in Florida law. See Cortez v. Palace Resorts, 123 So. 3d 1085 (Fla. 2013); Kinney Sys., Inc. v. Cont'l Ins. Co., 674 So. 2d 86 (Fla. 1996); Abeid-Saba v. Carnival Corp., 184 So. 3d 593, 599 (Fla. 3d DCA 2016); Telemundo Network Grp.,

Aerolineas Argentinas, S.A. v. Gimenez, 807 So. 2d 111, 113 (Fla. 3d DCA 2002) (stating that decision to grant or deny a *forum non conveniens* motion for dismissal rests in the sound discretion of the trial court).

Affirmed.

<u>LLC v. Azteca Int'l Corp.</u>, 957 So. 2d 705, 709 (Fla. 3d DCA 2007); Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.061(a).